UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,335	11/04/2003	Jose Luis Moctezuma de la Barrera	29997/061	4924
29471 MCCR ACKEN	7590 10/05/2007 N & FRANK LLP		EXAMINER	
200 W. ADAMS STREET SUITE 2150 CHICAGO, IL 60606			KHOLDEBARIN, IMAN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
011101100,12			3737	
			MAIL DATÉ	DELIVERY MODE
		•	10/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/701,335 DE LA BARRERA, JOSI MOCTEZUMA	
Onice Action Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	l Kenneth Kholdebarin	3737
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. Day be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		v the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	iiihd 25 U.C.O. S.d	110(0) (d) 01 (5)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fora) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 0.5.C. §	1 19(a)-(d) of (1).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		nlication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	
application from the International B	•	222.754 III. III. III. Olugo
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	. , , ,	eceived.
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sui	mman/ (PTO-413)
1) Induce of References Cited (PTO-692)	4) L Interview Sui	initiary (F10-413)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed June 02, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. After further consideration of the applicant's argument, examiner respectfully disagrees. In regards to applicant's remarks on page 6 and 7in regards of claims 1 and 11and their dependents, applicant argues that Quaid "does not disclose the step of performing an anatomical survey of the joint and the associated limb".

Examiner would like to further clarify that with respect of Quaid's teaching (herein after REF A) and in response to applications argument that REF A does not teach the survery of the joint, Quaid's discloses that "If desired, other types of sensing devices may be coupled to haptic device 113 or surgical tool 112 to determine other properties of anatomy 114. These properties may be used to determine the type of tissue that is in proximity to haptic device 113. Thus, haptic device 113 may be used to differentiate between hard and soft bones, healthy and diseases tissues, different types of healthy tissues, boundaries of anatomical structures, etc. Based on information received from haptic device 113, the type of the tissue may be automatically determined by CAS system 11 and displayed on display device 30."

Therefore, the examiner maintain previous rejections dated Jan 29, 2007.

Art Unit: 3737

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Quaid (US 2004/0106916).

Re Claim 10: Quaid discloses a method for registering two dimensional image data to intraoperatively digitized land mars comprising the steps of importing the two dimensional image
data (S1 / Fig. 13) for the joint into memory of a surgical navigation system (10) capable of
determining the position and orientation of an object within a working volume wherein the
surgical navigation system includes a display (30) a central processing unit and storage (all
included in computer system (10) [See paragraph 0037]; performing an anatomical survey of the
joint and associate limb (step 142 / Fig. 3A) [See paragraph 0061]; digitizing selected landmarks
based on the anatomical survey (\$3) [See paragraph 0054]; determining a mechanical axis for the
limb based on the digitized landmarks registering the two dimensional image data to the
mechanical axis and displaying the registered image data on the display (30) [See paragraph
0045]; guiding a cutting jig into position within the knee joint using the surgical navigation
system based on the landmarks [step 148, See paragraph 0067].

Re Claim 11: Quaid disclose the displaying of the position of the cutting

jig / surgical device (212) on the display (30) relative to the registered two dimensional image

data [See paragraph 0067].

Re Claim 12: Quaid discloses the displaying of a modified image (through the system (10) which

includes the display (30) for the image data) based on the two dimensional image data showing a

resection of a bone within the joint [See paragraph 0112].

Re Claim 13 and 14: Quaid discloses the method of obtaining two dimensional image data to be

obtained pre-operatively and intra-operatively. (Quaid considers monitoring the body part under

the surgery) [See paragraph 0004].

Re Claim 15: Quaid discloses the performing an initial kinematics assessment of the joint (step

708 applying block 2504-2506) [See paragraph 0162 and 0152].

Re Claim 16 and 17: Quaid discloses the method of registering the image data to the digitized

landmarks and the kinematics assessment [See paragraph 0054 and 0081].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 4.

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3737

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable in view of Quaid (2004/0106916).

Re Claim 1: Quaid discloses a system (10) for registering two dimensional image data to intraoperatively digitized landmarks where a surgical navigation system/modual navigation Of
computer (36) system capable of determining a position and an orientation of an object within a
working volume, including a central processing unit / processor of computer (36), a display (30)
a memory unit and a storage unit / memory and storage of computer 21 [See paragraph 0035]
Quaid discloses integrated of the imaging device to the computer surgical system to import the
image data [See paragraph 0042].

Further Quaid discloses the system (10)of the navigation surgical device capable of performing an intra-operative anatomical survey of the joint and associated limb to digitize selected landmarks and determine a mechanical axis [See paragraph 0054]

Quaid discloses the system (10) with navigation system to register the two dimensional image
• data of patient to the mechanical axis and display (30) the registered two dimensional image
data on the display [See Paragraph 0004]

Quaid discloses the system (10) with the help of haptic device can be used to guide the user in removing the diseased bone and guiding a cutting jig into position within the joint based on the landmarks wherein the position and orientation of the cutting jig / (surgical device 112) can be tracked by the surgical navigation system [See Paragraph 0056 and 0057].

Although Quaid fails to specifically disclose four separate circuits within one system, it would

Art Unit: 3737

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have

one system with one or different number of circuit, in order to assist the surgeons in joint

replacement surgery and particularly knee replacement surgery by using a surgical navigation

system.

Re Claim 2: Quaid disclose a display (30) of system (10) to displays the position of cutting jig /

surgical device (112) on the display relative to the registered two dimensional image data [See

Fig. 12, and paragraph 0058].

Although Quaid fails to specifically disclose fourth circuit, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have one system with one or

different number of Circuit, in order to display the position of cutting jig iar any relevant surgical

device to assist the surgeons in joint replacement to identify the location of operation.

Re Claim 3: Quaid discloses the display of image based on the registered image data showing a

resection plane of a bone within the joint. (In orthopedic application with the help ofhaptic

device (30)) [See paragraph 0109].

Although Quaid fails to specifically disclose a fourth circuit, it would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have one system with one or

different number of circuit, in order to display an image based on the registered image data

showing a resection plane in order tO guide the surgeon in the bone cutting operation, the skill

level of the surgeon is less critical.

Re Claim 4: Quaid discloses the image data is obtained intra-operatively (as part of the utilities

of system (10)) [See paragraph 0004].

Art Unit: 3737

Re Claim 5: Quaid discloses a system with haptic device (113) that performs an initial kinematics assessment of the joint [See paragraph 0053].

Although Quaid fails to slbecifically disclose a fifth circuit within the system, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have one system with one or different number of circuit, in order to perform initial kinematics assessment in order create the input for to coordinate transformation process or to assist the surgeon to find the coordinate of the joint.

Re Claim 6: Quaid discloses the software module to register the image data to the digitized landmarks, and to the kinematics assessment. Quaid explains that the registration may include any known registration technique, such as image to image or image to physical space [See paragraph 0043].

Although Quaid fails to specifically disclose a third circuit within the system, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have one system with one Or different number of circuit, in order to register the image data to the landmarks for a better visualization of the landmark locations on the image displayed intra- operation.

Re Claim 7: Quaid discloses the system (10) to display of digitized landmarks along with the registered two dimensional image data on display (30) [See paragraph 0040].

Although Quaid fails to specifically disclose a fourth circuit within the system, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have one system with one or different number of circuit, in order to display the landmarks on the image

Art Unit: 3737

data for a better visualization of the landmark locations on the image displayed intra-operation.

Re Claim 8: Quaid discusses about applying of plane resection to assist the surgeons with varying degrees of skill and experience to be able to perform accurate, repeatable bone resections.

Although Quaid fails to disclose or fairly suggest a fourth circuit to displays a proposed resection plane on the registered two dimensional image data, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display the resection plane on a registered image, in order to clearly define the excision of all or part of a bone and assist the orthopedic surgeons.

Re Claim 9: Quaid discloses that the system (10) via the display (30) is able to displays the varus / valgus data and extension flexion data in display (30) [See paragraph 0113].

Although Quaid fails to specifically disclose a fourth circuit within the system, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display varus/valgus angle, and flexion angle in order to help the user implanting a first implant on a first bone.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants disclosure. Hunter discloses Six degree of freedom alignment display for medical procedures;

Abovitz discloses System and method for intra-operative haptic planning of a medical procedure;

Carson discloses Surgical navigation systems and processes for high tibial osteotomy; Krause

Art Unit: 3737

discloses Computer-aided orthopedic surgery; Kande discloses Computer aided surgical plan provision method for orthopedic surgery, involves generating final surgical plan based on generated pre-surgical plan and intra-operative feedback obtained from surgeon; Chader discloses imaging system having interactive medical instruments and methods; Bucholz discloses system for indicating the position of a surgical probe within a head on an image of the head.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I Kenneth Kholdebarin whose telephone number is 571-270-1347. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 AM- 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3737

/Iman Kenneth Kholdebarin/ 09/27/2007

.

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PARTIE FOR ALL

Figure 1 Committee Committ